

Preview - EQAR Questionnaire - Cross Border Activity of QAAs

1. 1. General information & contact details: Personal information are requested for follow-up purposes only. These details will not be made public.

Name and acronym of your QA agency	Function at your organisation	E-mail address	First and last name	Home country(ies) of the agency (established legal basis)
*	*	*	*	*

2. 2.1 Is your agency active internationally? Please check the option(s) that apply:

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Yes, the agency carries out external QA reviews (evaluation/audit/accreditation of the HEI or programmes) in other countries. Yes, the agency collaborated with other agencies across borders.		0	0%
3	Yes, other type of QA activities		0	0%
4	No		0	0%
2	Yes, the agency collaborated with other agencies across borders.		0	0%

3. 2.2 Please provide us with further details of your agency's international activity.

4. 2.2. What is the rationale of your agency to get involved in cross-border activities?

5. 2.2 What is the rational for your agency to not engage in cross-border reviews?

6. EHEA countries:

7. Countries outside the EHEA (select multiple countries by pressing the Control key while clicking the country):

8. Year and type of QA activity (Institutional/ Programme review) .Ex:
Lichtenstein: 2010 - Institutional review, 2011-Programme review & Institutional review, 2013 - Programme review

9. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

10. Web link to external review report(s)

11. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

12. Status/Recognition of these QA reviews in the country of the reviewed institution/programme

13. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

14. Where did the initiative come from? Please select one of the replies below (A-E) for each country. If your reply falls under "E. Other", provide further specifications. A. Offered by the agency B. Requested by the HEI C. Requested by another QA agency D. Requested by the ministry E. Other (please specify)

15. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

16. First, please select the country(ies) of the QAA you have cooperated with.EHEA Countries:

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
337	Albania		1	100%
338	Andorra		0	0%
339	Armenia		0	0%
340	Austria		0	0%
341	Azerbaijan		0	0%
342	Belgium		0	0%
343	Bosnia and Herzegovina		0	0%
344	Bulgaria		0	0%
345	Croatia		0	0%
346	Cyprus		0	0%
347	Czech Republic		0	0%
348	Denmark		0	0%
349	Estonia		0	0%
351	Finland		0	0%
352	France		0	0%
353	Georgia		0	0%
354	Germany		0	0%
355	Greece		0	0%
356	Hungary		0	0%
357	Iceland		0	0%
358	Ireland		0	0%
359	Italy		0	0%
360	Kazakhstan		0	0%
361	Latvia		0	0%
362	Liechtenstein		0	0%
363	Lithuania		0	0%
364	Luxembourg		0	0%
365	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia		0	0%
366	Malta		0	0%
367	Moldova		0	0%

368	Montenegro		0	0%
369	Netherlands		0	0%
370	Norway		0	0%
371	Poland		0	0%
372	Portugal		0	0%
373	Romania		0	0%
374	Russia		0	0%
375	Serbia		0	0%
376	Slovakia		0	0%
377	Slovenia		0	0%
378	Spain		0	0%
379	Sweden		0	0%
380	Switzerland		0	0%
381	Turkey		0	0%
382	Ukraine		0	0%
383	United Kingdom		0	0%
384	Holy See		0	0%

17. Countries outside the EHEA (select multiple countries by pressing the Control key while clicking the country):

18. Secondly, please provide us with some further details regarding the agency/ies you have collaborated with. Name of the QAA(s)

19. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

20. The year(s) and type of activity (consultations, partnerships, QA projects etc).

21. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

22. Details (link to the project or a description of that cooperation, if available):

23. EHEA country/Non-EHEA country

24. 3.1 Does your agency have specific policies regarding cross-border QA reviews?

25. If yes please provide us with a web link where the policy can be found.

26. 3.2 What criteria and processes does your agency employ in its reviews abroad? Please check the descriptions that apply for the different dimensions. You can select multiple descriptions if it is a combination of those.

27. Please elaborate below on the criteria and processes employed by your agency in a review abroad:

28. 3.3 Have you contacted other HE competent authorities from the countries of the reviewed institutions?

29. Please explain the issues covered and how the communication/collaboration was helpful in your cross-border QA activity?

30. What have been the main benefits of the international QA review experience for your agency?

Text Response

*

31. What have been the main challenges of carrying out QA reviews in QAA in another EQA framework? How were they addressed?

Text Response

*

32. What do you consider would be the most important action(s) that would facilitate the external QA activities f(evaluation, audit, accreditation) of agencies across borders? At what level should these changes be made (international, national, institutional)?

33. In your view, what have been the institutions' motivation in turning to a non-national QAA for a review (evaluation/audit/accreditation)?

34. What do you consider are the reasons for countries to open up their HE system to cross-border (EQAR-registered) QAA reviews?

35. Additional remarks If you have any other contributions on this topic, please feel free to elaborate below.